

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 HOOMAN PANAH, an individual,
11 Plaintiff,

12 v.
13

14 STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPT. OF
15 CORRECTIONS AND
16 REHABILITATION, et al.,
17 Defendants.

Case No. 14-00166 BLF (PR)

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
OPPOSITION**

(Docket No. 174)

19 Plaintiff, an inmate on death row at California's San Quentin State Prison
20 ("SQSP"), filed the instant *pro se* civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging
21 unconstitutional acts by SQSP correctional officers. On February 5, 2020, the Defendants
22 filed a motion to dismiss. Dkt. No. 163. In the last court order filed February 20, 2020,
23 Plaintiff was directed to file an opposition no later than fourteen days from the order, such
24 that he had until March 4, 2020. Dkt. No. 168 at 5. On March 9, 2020, Plaintiff filed for
25 an extension of time to file an opposition until March 15, 2020, which is a 10-day
26 extension. Dkt. No. 174. In light of the current health crisis, the Court sua sponte further
27 enlarges time to file the response to Mar 25, 2020. Good cause appearing, Plaintiff's
28 motion is **GRANTED**. Plaintiff's opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss is due **no**

1 later than March 25, 2020.

2 Defendants' reply shall be filed no later than fourteen (14) days after Plaintiff's
3 opposition is filed.

4 This order terminates Docket No. 174.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED**

6 Dated: March 18, 2020


BETH LABSON FREEMAN
United States District Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3 Extension of Time for Reply to Motion to Dismiss
PRO-SE\BLF\CR.14\00166.Panah_eot_reply_MTD
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28